UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Benjamin Youngbear

Case Number: 1:07CR01560-001JB

USM Number: 40185-051

Defense Attorney: Michael Keefe, Appointed

THE DEFENI	DANT:			
The defendant	is adjudicated guilty of these violation	ons:		
Violation Number	Nature of Violation	Violation Ended		
1	-	failed to reside at and complete a program 11/28/2008 for a period of 4 months as approved by		
The defendant Act of 1984.	is sentenced as provided in pages 1 th	rough 2 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform		
☐ The defer	ndant has not violated condition(s) an	nd is discharged as to such violation(s).		
		ast notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of estitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid.		
		January 12, 2009		
Defendant's S	oc. Sec. No.	Date of Imposition of Judgment		
10/05/1986		/s/ James O. Browning		
Defendant's D	Date of Birth	Signature of Judge		
Diersen Charities 2331 Menaul Blvd NE Albuquerque NM 87107		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge		
Defendant's R	desidence Address	Name and Title of Judge		
,		January 29, 2009		
Defendant's M	Mailing Address	Date Signed		
Bernalillo				
County of Res	sidence			

Defendant: **Benjamin Youngbear** Case Number: **1:07CR01560-001JB**

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of **7 months**.

While the Court finds the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 7 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public and effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:		
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant must surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Service Office.		
RETURN			
I have executed this judgment by:			
Defe	endant delivered ontototo with a Certified copy of this judgment.		
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL		
	Deputy United States Marshal		